

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,113	08/25/2003	Hiroshi Wakimoto	4105-21	9847
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 01/17/2007 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLO	PHU, PHUONG M		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	V
ול	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	10/647,113	WAKIMOTO ET AL.		
Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Phuong Phu	2611		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):			
(1) <u>Phuong Phu</u> .	(3)			
(2) Michael Shea.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 12 January 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 6</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: (N/A).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) h	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>During the interview, the attorney of record would overcome the previous objection herein attached.</u>	e examiner agreed that the am	endment proposed by the		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims vould render the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		IG PHU EXAMINER		
Everying Nata Value at size this for	phungs	ohn 1/12/07		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		ature, if required		

Å- + 1 50

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Nixon & Vanderhye RC.

11TH FLOOR 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22203-1808

TELEPHONE: (703) 816-4000 FACSIMILE: (703) 816-4100 WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER: (703) 816-4029

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY!!!!

Our Ref.: Application No.:	4105-21 10/647,113	_ Date:	January 11, 2007
To: Firm: Facsimile No.: From:	United Sta	tes Patent 571-27	r P. PHU and Trademark Office 3-3009 J. Shea
Number of Pa (IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE PLEASE CONTACT US IM	4 R DIFFICULTIES IN TRANSMISSION,		
			Deb Phelps FACSIMILE OPERATOR
ATTACHMENT/S: D	raft Amendment		
MESSAGE:			

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information belonging to Nixon & Vanderhye, which is confidential and/or legally privileged. This information is only intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. IF YOU ARE NOT THE NAMED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR TAKING OF THIS INFORMATION FOR ANY USE WHATSOEVER IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately contact us by telephone to PAGE 1/4 * RCVD AT 1/11/2007 5:18:36 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2733009 * CSID:703 816 4100 * DURATION (mm-ss):00-40

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

WAKIMOTO, H. et al.

Atty. Ref.: 4105-21; Confirmation No. 9847

Appl. No. 10/647,113

Group: 2611

Filed: August 25, 2003

Examiner: Phuong M. PHU

For: RECEIVER AND AGC METHOD

January 11, 2007

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

DRAFT AMENDMENT

Applicants propose the following draft amendment for consideration by the Examiner.

Claim 1 (Currently Amended):

A receiver comprising:

a receiving unit which receives a multiplex wave of a first broadcasting wave and a second broadcasting wave and outputs a received signal;

a first filter which extracts only the first broadcasting wave from the received signal and outputs a first broadcasting wave signal;

a second filter which extracts the first broadcasting wave and the second broadcasting wave from the received signal and outputs a second broadcasting wave signal;

a first AGC unit which receives a signal indicative of a level of the first broadcasting wave signal and controls a gain of the first broadcasting wave signal based on the [[a]] level of the first broadcasting wave signal;

WAKIMOTO, H. et al. Appl. No. 10/647,113

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES

a second AGC unit which receives a signal indicative of a level of the second broadcasting wave signal and controls a gain of the second broadcasting wave signal based on the [[a]] level of the second broadcasting wave signal;

an AGC adjusting quantity determining unit which analyzes a receiving condition of the first broadcasting wave signal and determines an AGC adjusting quantity based on an analyzed result; and

an AGC adjusting unit which adjusts an AGC quantity of the second AGC unit according to the AGC adjusting quantity.

Claim 6 (Currently Amended):

An AGC method comprising:

a process of receiving a multiplex wave of a first broadcasting wave and a second broadcasting wave and outputting a received signal;

a process of extracting only the first broadcasting wave from the received signal and outputting a first broadcasting wave signal;

a process of extracting the first broadcasting wave and the second broadcasting wave from the received signal and outputting a second broadcasting wave signal;

a first AGC process of receiving a signal indicative of a level of the first broadcasting wave signal and controlling a gain of the first broadcasting wave signal based on the [[a]] level of the first broadcasting wave signal;

a second AGC process of receiving a signal indicative of a level of the second broadcasting wave signal and controlling a gain of the second broadcasting wave signal based on the [[a]] level of the second broadcasting wave signal;

an AGC adjusting quantity determining process of analyzing a receiving condition of the first broadcasting wave signal and determining an AGC adjusting quantity based on an analyzed result; and

an AGC adjusting process of adjusting an AGC quantity utilized in the second AGC process according to the AGC adjusting quantity.

Jan 11 2007 17:26

P. 04

WAKIMOTO, H. et al. Appl. No. 10/647,113

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to discuss this proposed amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

Bv

Michael J. Shea Reg. No. 34,725

MJS:mjs

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100